

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/932,890	HE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2827	

**All Participants:**

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(2) Ms. Kyshon Rivers.

**Date of Interview:** 23 August 2003

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Notice of Abandonment forthcoming.

(3) assisant of T. Daniel Christenbury.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 3:00PM



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner spoke with the assistant of T. Daniel Christenbury to inquiry about a response to restriction requirement mailed on 4/14/03. The assistant informed the examiner that T. Daniel Christenbury was not the attorney for this case anymore but Ms. Kyshon Rivers. The assistant gave the examiner Ms. Rivers telephone (908) 582-2834 and the examiner made several attempts for 1 1/2 week to contact Ms. Rivers but the phone continued to ring and there was no voice mail system. The examiner call the assistant of T. Daniel Christenbury again to verify the correct telephone number for Ms. Rivers. The assistant informed the examiner that this was the only number they had for Ms. Rivers. The examiner tried the number again with the same results. Therefore, a notice of abandonment is forthcoming since four months has passed without a response to the restriction requirement..